

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,106	10/22/2001	Heinz Wolleb	EL/2-21812/A/CONT/DIV	5985
324	7590 07/31/2003			
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
P O BOX 200 TARRYTOW	5 N, NY 10591-9005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,	•	1756	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/037,106	WOLLEB ET AL.	
Advisory Auton	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·	•	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4 and 8-23.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	٠		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	•
10. Other:		a. Mr	
		Martin J Angebrani Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756	ndt ·



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection under 35 USC 112 and 35 USC 102 and the double patenting rejection...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that there is no eveidence that the ferrocenyl moiety would behave the same when attached at different portions of the phthalocyanine molecule. This ignores the admission of the applicant with respect to EP 600427 on page 3 of the instant specification which indicates that additives including ferrocenes are known in the art to improve the stability/properties of optical recording layers in optical recording media. This reference is cited on the PTO 1449 of 2/13/2002 by the applicant and therefore is of record. The prior art of record indicates that the ferrocene has a positive impact on the recording layer when attached to the phthalocyanine or present as a separate molecule. The applicant has pointed out that the Kimura et al. reference does not indocate the magnitude of the benefit, but lumps the various substitutent together when descirbing the effects attributed to them. This presents the applicant with the opportunity to present evidence comparing the compound of the presently claimed invention with the phthalocynaine-ferrocene exemplified by Kimura et al. to clearly establish for the record that the invention realizes differences/increases in the magnitude of the stabilization or other properties/benefit not recognized by the prior art of record. The applicant fail to accord one of ordinary skill in the art with appreciation of the spectral properties of the dyes and the nexus between the optical recording and liquid crystalline arts established by Itoh et al. with Cook.

2